## MDFourier: Evaluating audio equipment

Artemio Urbina

February 8, 2020

## 1 MDFourier Notes

These series of quick notes are meant as stand alone tibdits of interesting data we find along while developing and polishing MDFourier. For the full documentation and the rest of the notes, please visit http://junkerhq.net/MDFourier.

## 2 Evaluating audio equipment

One of the uses of *MDFourier* is to evaluate how flat the frequency response of audio equipment it.

I just acquired a *Toslink* <-> *Coaxial* converter for this project, since I wasn't able to capture audio from *HDMI* enabled *FPGA* systems in order to compare them with *MDFourier*, but my audio card only has *SPDIF* coaxial in.

In order to provide reliable recordings, we need to know if all this hardware in the audio capture chain does not affect the audio signal. In order to do this, an already made MDFourier recording is used, and although any such file will suffice, we typically use a CD system. In this case a Sega CD audio file will be used to demonstrate the procedure.

The basic idea is to take the audio file, reproduce it and then record it again. When we compare the original recording against the copy we just made, differences must be minimal. At the very least, we should get a flat response as close to zero as possible.

In order to give a baseline, here is the output *MDFourier* gives when comparing a file against itself:



Figure 1  $\,$  The same recording compared against itself, matching all levels perfectly (no differences)

This would be an ideal case, no differences found and matches are all 100% and of course, this never happens on the field.

In this scenario, we have several pieces of equipment that can modify the signal, and unfortunately we have to test them all at once. The path starts with a *Blu ray* player that will be used to play back the audio file from an USB drive, and then it will output the signal via *HDMI*. Next, the *HDFury* will convert that signal to *TOSLINK* and this will be fed into the converter and transformed into *coaxial*. Finally the *coaxial* will be connected to my audio capture card.

The capture card offers the option to use the internal or external clock, and we will test both.

M-Audio Delta Control Panel		
mixer input output hardware	e about	
sample settings	sync source	settings load save
sample rate	locked	reset
▲ 48000 Hz	internal	
ASIO/WDM buffer size	external - spdif	select PCI card
512 samples		📕 Delta AP192
spdif settings	other settings	
mode emphasis	disable asio direct monitoring	
consumer v not indicated v data type scms audio v none (00) v	invert analog ins	
		M-AUDIO

Figure 2 audio capture options: external or Internal SPDIF clock

I started with the external clock option, since my intuition told me that the recording should follow the source clock. The next image shows the results of



the recordings, both at 48kHz and compared in the range 20Hz to 20kHz.

Figure 3 Results with all hardware in the chain

The results were good, at least no discernible differences at this scale, and the report says everything was matched with differences lower than 1dBFS.

We can of course be pedantic and check how it looks zoomed in, as the following image demonstrates.



Figure 4 Results with all hardware in the chain zoomed to 0.01 dBFS

One should understand that the zoom range used is 0.01dBFS, which for all purposes is ridiculously small and imperceptible, but it exists even when this was a fully digital recording. Of course, it went through several pieces of hardware. The *CD-DA* audio is always flat, but the other signals is where we find such small changes.

The next step was to compare the internal vs external clock, and see if that affects the recording at all. The same system but without CD-ROM was used for the following tests.

	SEDN: Hego Orine/Sego DEFERENT AMPLIFUDES	Gereela [4L]			
	•				
	-2				
	-0				
	-9				
	-12				
	-15				
	-18				
	-21				
	-24				
	-27				
	-30				
	-33				
	-34				
	-39				
	-42 w/eny onp®ude di IN \$2.55	Terence within 1d575 18 of 18218			
48	-45 FS0 Noise 50.01	85 of 0337 35 of 11605 88.14% of 11605			
		Reference: 486Hz St. Comportation: 486Hz St.	eres A-MUTUTANG-MT92100 mes A-MUTUTANG-MT92-DVD-HDMI-HDFURY-SPOF-DD		16.6878ms 50.9219Hz] Tukey

Figure 5 Results with all hardware in the chain but with internal clock

To my surprise, using the internal clock under this conditions was terribly noisy against all what I had read on the net this far. So I will stick to the *SPDIF* clock for recordings using this audio chain.

Even the phase was completely modified!



Figure 6 Phase plot with internal clock

For reference, here is the same graph created from the recordings while using the external clock:



Figure 7 Phase plot with external clock

While I was at it, I also used a different chain. In this other case, I used HDMI to analog conversion, provided by an HDFury Nano, which has a head-phone out.



Figure 8 HDFury nano comparison

As you can see, the results are way less flat and have a lot more noise. It isn't a bad conversion, since everything is well below 3dBFS, but the difference is huge when compared to the pure digital counterpart.

This process can be used to compare audio amplifiers, upscalers, switchers, and any other equipment you might have in your audio chain. I hope you found it as fascinating as I do.

## Glossary

 $\mathbf{dBFS}$  decibels relative to full scale. 3, 5

 ${\bf FPGA}$  field-programmable gate array. 1

Hz Hertz. 2

 $\mathbf{kHz}$  KiloHertz. 2

 ${\bf SPDIF} \ {\rm Sony/Phillips} \ {\rm Digital} \ {\rm Interface.} \ 1, \ 3$